MARK THIS BALLOT AND TAKE IT WITH YOU TO YOUR POLLING PLACE

★★★★★★★★★★★★★ OFFICIAL SAMPLE BALLOT AND VOTER INFORMATION PAMPHLET ★★★★★★★★★★★★★

COMPILED BY J. MICHAEL CAREY, CITY CLERK

PRIMARY NOMINATING AND ★ CONSOLIDATED ELECTIONS ★ TUESDAY, APRIL 8, 1997

113

INTERNET - http://www.ci.la.ca.us/dept/ELECTION/

ABSENT VOTER BALLOT APPLICATION

Primary Nominating and Consolidated Elections - April 8, 1997
(MUST BE RECEIVED BY THE CITY CLERK BY APRIL 1, 1997)
For Absent Voter Information Call (213) 485-4615

113

PRINT Registered Name and Address

First Name M.I. Last Name

Number & Street

City Zip Code ( ) Phone No.

Signature of Applicant X

If you want your ballot mailed to an address different from your registered address, please indicate below.

Street

City State Zip Code

★ LOCATION OF ★ YOUR VOTING PLACE

OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK
ELECTION DIVISION
ROOM B-23
700 E. TEMPLE STREET
LOS ANGELES, CA 90012

NON PROFIT ORG.
U.S. POSTAGE
PAID
City of Los Angeles
Election Division

BB LOS ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT BOND PROPOSITION BB.

To improve health and safety conditions in 800 neighborhood schools and improve classroom instruction, by replacing deteriorating roofing, heating, plumbing, electrical systems; providing earthquake reinforcements, asbestos removal, increased campus security; reducing class size, upgrading science labs, wiring for computer technology and air conditioning; with guaranteed annual audit and no money for administrators' salaries, shall the Los Angeles Unified School District issue $2.4 billion in bonds, at the legal interest rate for repairs/construction?

ANALYSIS OF PROPOSITION BB

By DeWitt W. Clinton, County Counsel

Approval of Proposition BB would authorize the Los Angeles Unified School District to issue $2,400,000,000 in general obligation bonds.

Funds received from the sale of the bonds would be used at more than 800 school sites throughout the District to repair safety hazards such as obsolete wiring and plumbing, decaying walls and drainage systems and leaking roofs, to bring schools into compliance with current earthquake standards, to remove asbestos and lead paints from classrooms, to provide computer technology in classrooms and libraries, to provide air conditioning in classrooms, to upgrade school security with metal detectors, lighting, fences and other security systems, to make schools accessible to the disabled, and to construct new classrooms including addition of factory-built classrooms to decrease class size. No money from the sale of the bonds will be used for administrators' salaries.

The bonds would be issued and sold at an interest rate not to exceed the maximum legal rate of twelve percent (12%) per annum, and would be repaid over a period not to exceed twenty-five (25) years by a property tax levied upon real property located within the District.

This measure requires a two-thirds (2/3) vote for passage.

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF LOS ANGELES UNIFI SCHOOL DISTRICT BOND PROPOSITION BB

Most of our schools are 40 years old or older and need major repairs.

- Old buildings need earthquake reinforcements
- Schools need fire and burglar alarms and public address systems for emergencies
- Poor lighting makes it tough to read and write
- Classrooms need more outlets for student computers
- Many students and teachers suffer with inadequate heating in winter and no air conditioning in summer.
- Broken bathrooms need new plumbing, sinks and toilets.
- Leaking roofs destroy equipment and books.
- Smaller class sizes require more classrooms.

Proposition BB will pay for vital repairs throughout the District, including:

- Reinforce schools to protect children and teachers in an earthquake
- Make schools safer by installing fire alarms, public address systems, burglar alarms and fences
- Repair old electrical systems to improve lighting and add outlets for computers
- Repair heating systems
- Install air conditioning in schools where needed
- Repair broken bathrooms
- Replace leaking roofs
- Add classrooms to reduce class size

To ensure that all money from this measure is spent as the voters want, each project will be monitored by an independent Oversight Committee of accountants, construction experts, engineers, architects and community and business leaders. By law, expenditures can only be made for school buildings, classrooms, and school grounds. No money can be used for administrators' salaries.

LA's economy and quality of life, including the value of our homes, depends on good schools. Children can't learn and teachers can't teach for the future in old, broken schools. This measure requires 66.67% approval. Last November, an overwhelming majority, 65.5%, voted YES. Now, let's give our children and teachers the tools they need. Teachers, parents, and principals, business, labor and civic leaders, homeowners and senior citizens join in urging all citizens to vote YES on Proposition BB.

FORMER ASSEMBLYMAN RICHARD KATZ
Independent Small Businessman

ROBERT GROSS
Former President
Woodland Hills Homeowners Organization

Arguments printed on this page are the opinions of the authors and are not checked for accuracy by any City agency.

ADDITIONAL PERSONS SIGNING ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSED LOS ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT BOND PROPOSITION BB

DAVID ROBERSON
Teacher
California Academic Decathlon Champions

MAX TURCHEN
Chair, Congress of California Seniors/Los Angeles

CATHERINE L. FLORY
President, 31st District Parents, Teachers & Students Association

REBUTTAL TO ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF LOS AN(:S UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT BOND PROPOSITION BB

The School Board has not fulfilled its ongoing responsibility to maintain school buildings. Now, when many buildings are falling apart, the board members come to the taxpayers with hat in hand, asking us to bail them out. It's about time taxpayers told these downtown politicians – No Way!

The LAUSD has plenty of money. They just haven't chosen to spend it on needed maintenance. They spend it on overpaid and unnecessary bureaucrats, chauffeur-driven limousines and other luxuries – but not on the kids! Then, when they can't hide a crumbling infrastructure, they seek more money. We say they should use existing funds.

Proposition BB is a MAJOR TAX HIKE. Los Angeles-area taxpayers just can't afford more. Taxes at all levels already drain half the average person's income. Many Los Angeles homeowners are elderly and on fixed incomes, or young couples just getting started. This measure would add hundreds of dollars to these owners' property tax bills. Renters will also have to pay more, since landlords will certainly raise rents to pay the higher taxes.

Proponents say that L. A.'s economic future depends on improved schools. It's more complicated than that. If we want true economic prosperity, we must cut taxes, cut government spending at all levels and repeal regulations that have made California, and notably the Southland, one of the nation's most anti-business, anti-growth areas. Less government equals prosperity. Proposition BB is just the opposite. It's another massive public works program that will spend taxpayers' money freely and easily. Please vote NO.

BOB WEBER
Chair, Libertarian Party of Los Angeles County

NEAL DONNER
Teacher

PAM PROBST
Teacher

KELLEY ROSS
Philosophy Instructor, L. A. Valley College

Arguments printed on this page are the opinions of the authors and are not checked for accuracy by any City agency.

ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSED LOS ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT BOND PROPOSITION BB

The School Board can't take "no" for an answer. Voters rejected this same measure in November, but these free-spending politicians won't give up.

Bonds almost double the cost of any government project. Proposition BB will cost taxpayers over $4 BILLION in principal and interest for 20 years.

The owner of a $200,000 house will have to pay an additional $150 per year. This is a MAJOR TAX HIKE that homeowners just can't afford.

The LAUSD already spends a fortune – and the result is one of the nation's least effective education systems. They should be ashamed to ask voters for more money.

Yes, many schools need repairs. It's the responsibility of the School Board to maintain public property. Failure to pay for standard upkeep out of regular budgets has caused far-worse maintenance problems. This looks like pure incompetence.

Proposition BB specifically state "no money shall be used for administrators' salaries." Good! But taxpayers will still be paying administrators from sales and income taxes. The School Board could show good faith to taxpayers by eliminating unneeded bureaucrats and using existing funds to finance necessary repairs.

How are existing funds being spent? The district spends $7,600 per year per student – way over the statewide average of $5,200. That's $228,000 for a classroom of 30 kids. If the teacher earns $40,000, that leaves $188,000 – PER CLASSROOM – PER YEAR! It's obvious the money's being spent for bureaucrats, not maintenance.

Statewide there are eight times as many non-teachers for every teacher in government schools than in private schools. The cost to administer private schools is so much lower that it's laughable to think LAUSD can't cut costs instead of raising taxes.

Taxpayers beware! This is a MAJOR TAX HIKE. A vote against this measure is a vote for school reform. Please vote NO.

BOB WEBER
Chair, Libertarian Party of
Los Angeles County

NEAL DONNER
Teacher

PAM PROBST
Teacher

KELLEY ROSS
Philosophy Instructor,
L. A. Valley College

Arguments printed on this page are the opinions of the authors and are not checked for accuracy by any City agency.

REBUTTAL TO THE ARGUMENT AGAINST LOS ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT BOND PROPOSITION BB

Mayor Richard Riordan, experts in finance and construction, local teachers and parents support Prop. BB because the money will be spent on only one thing: The repair of old school classrooms and buildings that are badly deteriorating and sometimes unsafe.

They support Prop. BB because, by law, no money from the bond can be spent on administrators' salaries. All of the bond money goes to fix our schools by bringing buildings up to current earthquake standards, repairing school safety hazards, such as decaying walls and leaking roofs, helping install electrical wiring so students can learn on computers, and providing air conditioning where needed.

To ensure that the bond money reaches the schools and is spent as the voters want, Mayor Riordan insisted that a strong independent Oversight Committee monitor the bond expenditures.

The Oversight Committee included in this measure is comprised of accountants, engineers, architects and auditors. It will review projects and will report directly to the public.

The Oversight Committee findings and recommendations will be available at schools and libraries so that local voters can follow the progress of repairs at their neighborhood school. The committee will make sure that the contracts guaranteeing the repairs at each school will be completed on time and within budget.

Mayor Richard Riordan, neighborhood parents, classroom teachers, local school employees and homeowners support Prop. BB because our schools need repairs and the strong, independent Oversight Committee will manage the bond money efficiently.

FORMER ASSEMBLYMAN
RICHARD KATZ
Independent Small Businessman

NAOMI SUENAKA
President
Elementary Principals' Organization

GAIL BASKIN
Teacher
Chatsworth Park Elementary

KINDE DURKEE
Auditor-Controller

KENNETH HORWITZ
Teacher
Granada Hills High School

Arguments printed on this page are the opinions of the authors and are not checked for accuracy by any City agency.

TATEMENT IN COMPLIANCE WITH ELECTIONS CODE SECTIONS 9400 TO 9404

As shown on the enclosed sample ballot, an election will be held in the Los Angeles Unified School District of Los Angeles County on April 8, 1997 for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said district the question of incurring a bonded indebtedness of said district in the principal amount of $2,400,000,000. If such bonds are authorized and sold, the principal thereof and interest thereon will be payable from the proceeds of tax levies made upon the taxable property in said district. The following information regarding tax rates is given to comply with Sections 9400 to 9404 of the Elections Code. Such information is based upon the best estimates and projections presently available from official sources and upon experience with said district or other demonstrable factors.

If said bonds are authorized, the present projection is that said $2,400,000,000 of bonds with a total estimated interest cost of $2,103,565,643 will be sold in nine series as follows:

(a) $347,580,000 thereof on or about July 15, 1997 dated on or about August 1, 1997 maturing annually on August 1, 1998 through August 1, 2022. The maturities range from $6,730,000 to $24,715,000 and bear interest at an estimated total amount of $304,648,365.

(b) $305,825,000 thereof on or about July 14, 1998 dated on or about August 1, 1998 maturing annually on August 1, 1999 through August 1, 2023. The maturities range from $5,920,000 to $21,745,000 and bear interest at an estimated total amount of $268,049,844.50.

(c) $300,210,000 thereof on or about July 13, 1999 dated on or about August 1, 1999 maturing annually on August 1, 2000 through August 1, 2024. The maturities range from $5,810,000 to $21,345,000 and bear interest at an estimated total amount of $263,131,813.

(d) $300,950,000 thereof on or about July 18, 2000 dated on or about August 1, 2000 maturing annually on August 1, 2001 through August 1, 2025. The maturities range from $5,825,000 to $21,400,000 and bear interest at an estimated total amount of $263,781,275.

(e) $309,645,000 thereof on or about July 17, 2001 dated on or about August 1, 2001 maturing annually on August 1, 2002 through August 1, 2026. The maturities range from $5,995,000 to $20,155,000 and bear interest at an estimated total amount of $271,399,642.50.

(f) $234,240,000 thereof on or about July 15, 2004 dated on or about August 1, 2004 maturing annually on August 1, 2005 through August 1, 2029. The maturities range from $4,535,000 to $16,655,000 and bear interest at an estimated total amount of $205,310,200.

(g) $231,980,000 thereof on or about July 15, 2007 dated on or about August 1, 2007 maturing annually on August 1, 2008 through August 1, 2032. The maturities range from $4,490,000 to $16,495,000 and bear interest at an estimated total amount of $203,331,457.50.

(h) $228,200,000 thereof on or about July 15, 2010 dated on or about August 1, 2010 maturing annually on August 1, 2011 through August 1, 2035. The maturities range from $4,420,000 to $16,225,000 and bear interest at an estimated total amount of $200,007,281.50.

(i) $141,370,000 thereof on or about July 15, 2013 dated on or about August 1, 2013 maturing annually on August 1, 2014 through August 1, 2038. The maturities range from $2,735,000 to $10,050,000 and bear interest at an estimated total amount of $123,905,764.

Based upon such projections and estimates the following statement is made in compliance with paragraphs (1), (2), and (3) of subdivision (a) of Section 9401 of the Elections Code:

(1) The first fiscal year after the first sale of the bonds will be the fiscal year 1998-99. It is presently estimated that the assessed value of all taxable property in the district as shown on the assessment roll for such fiscal year will be $199,434,836,776. Based upon the foregoing estimates and projections the tax rate which would be required to fund said bond issue during such fiscal year 1998-99 would be 0.02343 percent of assessed valuation.

(2) The first fiscal year after the last sale of the bonds will be the fiscal year 2014-15. It is presently estimated that the assessed value of all taxable property in the district as shown on the assessment roll for such fiscal year will be $253,199,113,188. Based upon the foregoing estimates and projections, the tax rate which would be required to fund said bond issue during such fiscal year 2014-15 would be 0.065715 percent of assessed valuation.

(3) The fiscal year in which the highest tax rate required to fund said bond issue will be levied is estimated to be fiscal year 2013-14. It is presently estimated that the assessed value of all taxable property in the district as shown on the assessment roll for such fiscal year will be $248,298,729,885. Based upon the foregoing estimates and projections, the tax rate which would be required to fund said bond issue during such fiscal year 2013-14 would be 0.067357 percent of assessed valuation.

Attention of all voters is directed to the fact that the foregoing information is based upon projection and estimated only which are not binding upon the district. These projections and estimates relate only to the funding of the bonds to be issued as a result of this election and do not relate to the funding of previously issued bonds. The actual times of sales of said bonds and the amount sold at any given time will be governed by the needs of the district, the then applicable debt limit, the state of the bond market and other factors. The actual interest rate which bonds sold will bear will depend upon the bond market at the time of sale. The actual assessed values during the several future years will depend upon the amount of taxable property within the district and the value thereof as determined in the assessment process. Hence, the actual tax rates and the years in which the same are applicable may vary from those presently estimated as above stated.

The foregoing statement has been approved by the governing board of said school district.

SIDNEY A. THOMPSON
Superintendent of
Los Angeles Unified School District
Los Angeles County

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

Date: May 2, 1997
Honorable DIANE WAYNE, Judge | F. ALDANA, Deputy Clerk
86 NONE, Deputy Sheriff | M. SAPP, E.R.M.

BC169554

(Parties and Counsel checked if present)

DAY HIGUCHI — Counsel For Plaintiff: JESUS E. QUINONES (X), NATHAN J. KOWALSKI (X)

vs — AMICI CURIAE: TREVOR GRIMM (X)

LOS ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT, ET AL — Counsel For Defendant: PETER W. JAMES (X), RICHARD K. MASON (X)

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS:

The Blue Ribbon Oversight Committee was established to "~~I~~ensure that the proceeds of [Proposition BB] are used for the purposes stated in the resolution which placed that proposition on the ballot and for the projects included in the contracts established with each school in the district." Decl. of Louargand, Ex. 1, p. 1.

The Blue Ribbon Committee has the responsibility for:

"Meeting at least quarterly to review expenditures of bond proceeds and District processes and procedures related to the bond projects.

"Reporting findings quarterly to the Board and to the public in a written report. . . .

"Recommending improvements to District processes and procedures as they relate to scheduling, planning and completion of projects.

"Reporting immediately to the Board and to the public any substantial expenditure of bond proceeds in conflict with the purposes approved by the Board and the contracts established with the schools."

Decl. of Louargand, Ex. 1, p. 3.

It is self-evident that the Blue Ribbon Committee can carry out its responsibilities only if major projects such as the Belmont

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA . COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

Date: May 2, 1997
Honorable DIANE WAYNE , Judge | F. ALDANA , Deputy Clerk
86 NONE , Deputy Sheriff | M. SAPP , E.R.M.

BC169554

(Parties and Counsel checked if present)

DAY HIGUCHI

Counsel For Plaintiff: JESUS E. QUINONES (X)
NATHAN J. KOWALSKI (X)

vs

AMICI CURIAE: TREVOR GRIMM (X)

Counsel For Defendant: PETER W. JAMES (X)
RICHARD K. MASON (X)

LOS ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT, ET AL

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS:

Learning Center are presented to the Committee before the Board commits itself to a course of action. If a project or any component thereof will result in an impermissible substantial expenditure of bond proceeds, it should be discovered at an early stage. Otherwise an unauthorized use of these funds will have already committed and at least partially spent constituting an unnecessary taxpayer expense.

Contrary to the assertion of respondent this procedure does not amount to an unlawful delegation of contract authority to the Committee, but rather, is an appropriate review of the expenditure of Bond funds promised to the voters of this City.

II. Public Contract Code § 20111(b).

Plaintiffs argue that defendants were not authorized to use the request for qualifications and proposal procedure but instead should have implemented competitive bidding. However, defendants were not required to comply with the provisions of Public Contract Code § 20111(b). Rather, the provisions of the Education Code govern.

Public Contract Code § 20111 provides in relevant part:

"(b) The governing board shall let any contract for a public project, as defined in subdivision (c) of Section 22002, involving an expenditure of fifteen thousand dollars ($15,000) or more, to the lower responsible

EXHIBIT 1c

BOARD OF EDUCATION OF THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES
Governing Board of the Los Angeles Unified School District

Los Angeles, California
December 9, 1996

BOARD OF EDUCATION REPORT NO. 1

SUBJECT: ADOPTION OF A RESOLUTION ORDERING AN ELECTION AND ESTABLISHING SPECIFICATIONS OF THE ELECTION ORDER

Proposal
It is proposed that the Board adopt the attached Resolution Ordering an Election and Establishing Specifications of the Election Order for the purpose of placing a bond measure on the April 8, 1997 election ballot to provide funds for the repair and construction of school buildings.

Background
On November 5, 1996, the voters of the Los Angeles Unified School District voted overwhelmingly in favor of Proposition BB, to authorize the issuance of up to $2.4 billion of general obligation bonds to repair and modernize schools, to correct safety hazards, to provide new classrooms, to air condition classrooms, and to fund other related purposes. Despite "yes" votes by over 65% of the voters, the approval fell short of the 2/3 super majority required by State law.

The needs which prompted Proposition BB not only continue, but increase with the passage of each week. Therefore, the voters should be given the earliest possible opportunity to provide the necessary margin for passage of a local school repair and construction bond.

In order to move forward with the program and place a local bond measure on the April 8, 1997 election ballot, it is necessary for the Board to take specific actions pursuant to Section 1(b) of Article XIII A of the California Constitution, and Section 5320 *et seq.* and Section 15100 *et seq.* of the California Education Code.

The attached Resolution, among other things, formally calls for an election and establishes the date of the election and the amount of the proposed bonded indebtedness. It also directs that the Executive Officer of the Board file certified copies of the Resolution with the designated public entities within a specified period of time in order to comply with the Education Code.

Exhibit A generally describes how the bond proceeds will be used. Exhibit B provides the language required for the formal Election Notice which will be published by the

97/2
1c

This action has been reviewed and does not require a Desegregation Impact Statement.

Respectfully submitted,

SIDNEY A. THOMPSON
Superintendent of Schools

PREPARED BY:

BOB NICCUM
Director of Real Estate and Asset Management

OLONZO WOODFIN III, Controller
Accounting and Disbursements Division

PRESENTED BY:

BETH LOUARGAND
General Manager, Facilities Services Division

HENRY JONES, Chief Financial Officer
Office of the Chief Financial Officer

RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF EDUCATION OF THE LOS ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT ORDERING AN ELECTION AND ESTABLISHING SPECIFICATIONS OF THE ELECTION ORDER

WHEREAS, the Board of Education (the "Board") of the Los Angeles Unified School District (the "District") has determined that certain school facilities within the District need to be renovated, modernized, and expanded to enable the District to enhance the educational programs of the students of the District; and

WHEREAS, in the judgment of the Board, it is advisable to provide the funding for such needs by means of general obligation bonds (the "Bonds"); and

WHEREAS, Section 1(b) of Article XIII A of the California Constitution excepts from the one percent of full cash value maximum ad valorem tax on real property, those ad valorem taxes used to pay interest and redemption charges on any bonded indebtedness for the acquisition or improvement of real property which is approved on or after July 1, 1978 by two-thirds of the votes cast by voters voting on the proposition; and

WHEREAS, in the judgment of the Board, it is advisable to order the Los Angeles County Superintendent of Schools (the "County Superintendent") to call an election within the District on the question of whether Bonds shall be issued and sold for the purposes set forth in Exhibit A.

NOW THEREFORE, THE BOARD OF EDUCATION OF THE LOS ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT DOES HEREBY RESOLVE, DETERMINE AND ORDER AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. That the Board, pursuant to California Education Code Section 15100, hereby orders the County Superintendent to call an election and submit to the electors of the District the question of whether Bonds shall be issued and sold for the purposes set forth in Exhibit A.

Section 2. That the date of the election shall be April 8, 1997.

Section 3. That the purpose of the election shall be for the voters in the District to vote on a proposition, a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit B, containing the question of whether the District shall issue Bonds for the purposes set forth in Exhibit A.

Section 4. That the authority for ordering the election is contained in Section 15100 of the California Education Code and Section 1(b) Article XIII A of the California Constitution.

Section 5. That the authority for the specifications of this election order is contained in Section 5322 of the California Education Code.

Section 6. That this Resolution shall constitute the order of the District to the County Superintendent to call an election within the boundaries of the District on April 8, 1997.

Section 7. That the Los Angeles City Clerk ("City Clerk") is hereby requested to consolidate the election ordered hereby with the City of Los Angeles primary nominating election to be held on April 8, 1997.

Section 8. That the Executive Officer of the Board is hereby directed immediately to send a certified copy of this Resolution to the County Superintendent by no later than December 10, 1996.

Section 9. That the Executive Officer of the Board is hereby directed immediately to file a certified copy of this Resolution with the County Registrar by no later than December 10, 1996.

Section 10. That the Executive Officer of the Board is hereby directed immediately to file a certified copy of this Resolution with the Los Angeles County Clerk of the Board of Supervisors (the "County Clerk") by no later than December 10, 1996.

Section 11. That the Executive Officer of the Board is hereby directed immediately to file a certified copy of this Resolution with the City Clerk by no later than December 10, 1996.

Section 12. That the County Superintendent is hereby requested to deliver the order of election, a copy of this Resolution and a formal notice of the election to the County Registrar, the County Clerk, and the City Clerk as provided for by applicable California law, by no later than December 10, 1996.

Section 13. That the Board agrees to pay all costs associated with the election called herein.

Section 14. That the President of the Board, or the President's designee, is hereby authorized to execute any Tax Rate Statement or other document and to perform all acts necessary to place the bond measure on the ballot.

Adopted and signed this _____ day of _____ 1996 by the Board of Education of the Los Angeles Unified School District.

_____

Jefferson Crain, Executive Officer of the Board

EXHIBIT A

USE OF BOND PROCEEDS

Proceeds from the issue and sale of bonds will be used for the following purposes at more than 800 school sites throughout the district:

- Repairing dangerous safety hazards, like obsolete wiring and plumbing, decaying walls and drainage systems, and leaking roofs
- Bringing schools up to current earthquake standards
- Removing asbestos and lead paints from classrooms
- Providing for installation of computers and classroom technology and improving libraries with computer networks
- Air conditioning for school classrooms
- Upgrading security at schools with metal detectors, fences, lighting and security systems
- Making schools accessible to the disabled
- Construction of new classrooms and acquisition of factory-built classrooms to decrease class size
- No money shall be used for administrators' salaries

EXHIBIT B

SCHOOL BOND ELECTION NOTICE

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN to the qualified electors of the Los Angeles Unified School District (the "District") located in the County of Los Angeles, State of California, that in accordance with law, an election will be held on Tuesday, the 8th day of April, 1997, in the District, at which election there will be submitted the question of issuing and selling bonds of the District in an amount not to exceed $2,400,000,000 for the following purposes:

> Providing needed health and safety improvements to more than 800 deteriorating school buildings and 15,000 classrooms, including upgraded electrical wiring and plumbing, repairing decaying roofs and walls; earthquake retrofitting and asbestos removal; providing for computer technology and science labs; providing air conditioning for classrooms; ensuring student safety with metal detectors, lighting, fences and security systems; and to build new school buildings to reduce class size and decrease busing. No money from this measure will be used on administrators' salaries.

All of the purposes enumerated herein are hereby united and shall be voted upon as a single proposition.

The bonds proposed to be issued and sold shall bear interest at a rate not exceeding twelve percent (12%) per annum, or the maximum allowed by Government Code Section 53531.

The number of years the whole or any part of the bonds are to run shall not exceed twenty-five (25) years from the date of the bonds or the date of any series thereof.

Each qualified elector of the District shall be entitled to vote only in the District election precinct of which s/he is a resident.

The electors of the District shall vote on the following measure on April 8, 1997:

MEASURE [ ]

To improve health and safety conditions in 800 neighborhood schools and improve classroom instruction, by replacing deteriorating roofing, heating, plumbing, electrical systems; providing earthquake reinforcements, asbestos removal, increased campus security; reducing class size, upgrading science labs, wiring for computer technology and air conditioning; with guaranteed annual audit an d no money for administrators' salaries, shall the Los Angeles Unified School District issue $2.4 billion in bonds, at the legal interest rate for repairs/construction?

BONDS YES BONDS NO

County Clerk, City Clerk, or Registrar of Voters, and includes the suggested language for the Measure which will appear on the election ballot.

Budget Implications

It is estimated that the balance remaining from the funds previously authorized by the Board for the cost of Proposition BB will be sufficient to cover the cost of the April local bond election. However, the final billing from the County for the November election has not yet been received, and the exact cost of that election is therefore not yet known. If the cost is greater than currently anticipated, it may be necessary for the Board to authorize additional funds for the April election.

Recommendation

IT IS RECOMMENDED that the Board adopt the attached Resolution Ordering an Election and Establishing Specifications of the Election Order.

IT IS FURTHER RECOMMENDED that the Chief Financial Officer be authorized to enter into contractual agreements with O'Melveny & Myers to serve as bond counsel and with C. M. de Crinis & Co., Inc. to serve as financial advisor in connection with all requisite pre-election activities.

EXHIBIT 1e

TO: BOARD OF EDUCATION OF THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES

FROM: SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS

VIA: BOARD OF EDUCATION

ADOPTED AS AMENDED SEE MINUTES

Facilities Services Division (Real Estate and Asset Management Branch)
Communication No. 3 to the Board of Education on July 15, 1996

SUBJECT: ALLOCATION OF PROCEEDS FROM LOCAL SCHOOL FACILITIES BONDS

Proposal
It is proposed that funds from the District's local school facilities bond measure, if approved by the voters in November 1996, be allocated in the approximate amounts shown below:

PURPOSE	AMOUNT	DESCRIPTION
Specific school projects	$800 million	Includes portable classrooms, deferred maintenance, air conditioning, lunch shelters, security grills, locker replacement, and bleacher repairs
School determined facilities needs	$7 million	$10 per ADA
Educational technology	$326 million	Includes wiring of schools for computers, security intercom, and fire alarm systems
New construction and modernization	$900 million	Provides matching funds for state eligible construction (new schools, primary centers, and additions) and modernization projects, and matching funds for the proposed federal school facilities program
Other needs	$367 million	Funds for unanticipated needs such as class size reduction, hazard mitigation, handicapped access, inflation, and matching funds for community-sponsored projects such as parent centers, health clinics, expansion of playgrounds, and new athletic facilities

It is also proposed that staff be authorized to negotiate agreements with each fiscally independent charter school which will enable those schools to participate in the local bond to the same extent and for the same purposes as District schools.

Background
In recent actions, the Board has taken the steps necessary to place a $2.4 billion local school facilities bond measure on the ballot for the November 1996 general election. Throughout the discussions leading up to these actions by the Board, a key consideration was that contracts

97-2
1e

would be signed with each school in the District identifying the projects to be funded at that school from the bond proceeds.

In order to develop these contracts, it is necessary that the Board establish the approximate amounts of the bond proceeds which will be used for various categories of needs. The proposed allocation set out above is the result of discussion with unions, cluster administrators, parent groups, and senior staff.

Budget Implications
No budget implications result from determining the allocation of the bond proceeds.

Desegregation Impact Statement
The Desegregation Impact Statement for the proposed bond allocation is attached as Exhibit 1.

Recommendation
IT IS RECOMMENDED that the Board approve the allocation of bond proceeds as shown above, and authorize staff to develop contracts with individual schools, including fiscally independent charter schools, which reflect that allocation formula.

Respectfully submitted,

SIDNEY A. THOMPSON
Superintendent of Schools

PREPARED BY:

BOB NICCUM
Director of Real Estate and Asset Management

PRESENTED BY:

BETH LOUARGAND
General Manager, Facilities Services Division

HENRY JONES
Chief Financial Officer, Office of the Chief Financial Officer

GORDON WOHLERS
Assistant Superintendent, Office of School Reform

BOARD OF EDUCATION OF THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES
Governing Board of the Los Angeles Unified School District

ADOPTED AS AMENDED (See Minutes) Los Angeles, California
August 5, 1996

BOARD OF EDUCATION REPORT NO. 5

: Board of Education adopts as shown below the item submitted by the Superintendent in the following ched communication dated July 15, 1996:

FACILITIES SERVICES DIVISION NO. 3

.3 Approving allocation of bond proceeds as indicated; authorizing staff to develop contracts with individual schools, including fiscally independent charter schools, which reflect that allocation formula.

A Desegregation Impact Statement is required and is attached.

Respectfully submitted,

BOARD OF EDUCATION

e signature of the sident does not essarily signify curence with the ommendation.)

President

*AMENDMENT:

Including "School Determined Facilities Needs" as a sub-category to "Other Needs", and increasing the amount for "Other Needs" from $367 million to $374 million.